

# HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

## How to use PyDbg as a powerful multitasking debugger

September 3<sup>th</sup>, 2012 Brian MARIANI, Senior Security Auditor at High-Tech Bridge Frédéric BOURLA, Chief Security Specialist at High-Tech Bridge



©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com

#### The debugger's goal

- When a program crashes for some reason it is often hard to realize what happened without using the appropriate tool.
- A debugging tool is a program which aims to analyze other programs.
- The main interest when using a debugger is to analyze the code behavior or to find a bug in another program.
- A debugger allows a programmer or a researcher to quickly identify the cause of a problem in the code.



#### Type of debuggers



- The Debuggers are amoung us since the late 80'.
- We can divide them in two types:
  - The command line (CLI) debuggers.
  - The graphical user interface (GUI) debuggers.
- Each type of debugger finds its place in the IT community.
- Let's see a list of the most popular ones.



#### Most common debuggers



- According to wikipedia some of the most known and popular debuggers are:
  - GNU Debugger (GDB)
  - Intel Debugger (IDB)
  - Microsoft Visual Studio Debugger
  - Valgrind
  - WinDBG



#### Nowadays debuggers



- The debuggers have greatly evolved since the late 90'.
- They are developed on an easy to use interface which relies on advanced graphing.
- They support scripting languages, such as Python, for an easy extensibility.
- Some of the most commonly used debuggers these days are:
  - Immunity Debugger
  - OllyDBG
  - IDA Pro



#### With the help of Python

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

- Python was created in the early 1990 by Guido van Rossum at Stichting Mathematisch Centrum.
- It is a programming language that allows to easily automate daily tasks.
- It runs on Windows, Linux/Unix, Mac OS operating systems.
- It's free and Open Source.



#### PyDbg - A python debugger



- Pydbg is an Open Source Python debugger.
- It was developed by Pedram Amini and presented at the REcon security conference in 2006.
- It was provided as the main component of the PaiMei framework.
  [slides here]
- Since this presentation, PyDbg is now used in many popular tools.
- In this document we will show on which cases PyDbg proves to be an effective tool.
- It is assumed that the user has basic-medium knowledge about x86 assembler and Windows API.



#### From basic to advance functionalities

- By using user-defined callback functions the PyDbg functionality can be easily extended.
- When a custom callback is implemented one can define subsequent actions after the debugger triggers an event.
- Multiples possibilities are available such as set other breakpoints, manipulate or read memory offsets, and alter function parameters.
- Once our piece of code has been executed one can return the control to PyDbg in order to continue execution.



#### A basic PyDbg script

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

- Let's study the functioning of PyDbg with a very simple code snippet.
- We are are going to hook the **CreatefileA** and **CreateFileW** API.
- The goal is to know what are the opened files when loading a PDF file into Acrobat Reader.
- We are not going to filter the opened files but just display each and every found file.
- Let's examine the PyDbg script.



#### The PyDbg script

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

INFORMATION SECURITY SOLUTIONS



- During the first four lines, the needed PyDbg and python modules are imported.
- In line seven the PyDbg class is instantiated.
- From line sixteen to line twenty-two, we define the handler responsible to display the UNICODE files.
- From line twenty-four to line thirty-one, we define the handler responsible to display the ASCII files.
- From line thirty-eight to line forty-one, we define the function names for which we want a hooking and we resolve the API's memory addresses.
- Finally from line forty-four to line forty-six we define the breakpoints and the handler responsible to enter in action when the breakpoint is reached.



#### The results





#### Modifying the script

- Let's slightly modify the script in order to display only what we would like to see.
- It is here that the couple scriptable language and debugger become very interesting!
- The next script filters all the opened files and only displays the files with the API extension.
- This is particularly useful when we need to target certain types of files and shorten the results.
- Let's check the results after modification.



#### The script after modification

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

1 from pydbg import \* 2 from pydbg.defines import \* 3 import struct 4 import os 6 os.system('CLS') 7 dbg = pydbg(); 9 #Define target process 10 target process = "acrord32.exe" 11 pid is there - False 12 13 print "[+] Observing CreateFile& and CreateFile& Api's" 14 15 16 def handler CreateFileW(dbg): Filename = "" 17 18 = dbg.read process memory(dbg.context.Esp + 0x4, 4) addr FilePointer 19 addr FilePointer = struct.unpack("<L", addr FilePointer)[0]</pre> = dbg.smart dereference(addr FilePointer, True); 20 Filename 21 if Filename.find('.api') != -1: 22 print "CreateFileW -> %s" %Filename return DBG CONTINUE 23 24 25 def handler\_CreateFileA(dbg): offset = 0 26 27 buffer File& - "" 28 addr buffer data = dbg.read process memory(dbg.context.Esp + 0x4, 4) 29 addr buffer data = struct.unpack("<L", addr buffer data)[0] 30 buffer File& = dbg.smart dereference(addr buffer data,True); 31 if buffer\_Filek.find('.api') != -1: 32 print "CreateFile& -> %s" %buffer File& 33 return DBG CONTINUE 34 35 for (pid, name) in dbg.enumerate processes(): if name.lower() == target process: 36 37 pid is there- True 38 print "[+] Attaching to the process %s" % target\_process 39 dbg.attach(pid) 40 function2 = "CreateFileV" 41 function3 = "CreateFileA" 42 CreateFileW = dbg.func\_resolve\_debuggee("kernel32.dll", "CreateFileW") 43 CreateFileA = dbg.func\_resolve\_debuggee("kernel32.dll", "CreateFileA") 44 print "[+] Resolving %s 8 %08x" % (function2,CreateFileW) 45 print "[+] Resolving %s 8 %08x" % (function3,CreateFile&) 46 dbg.bp set(CreateFile&, description="CreateFile&", handler=handler CreateFile&) 47 dbg.bp\_set(CreateFileW,description="CreateFileW",handler=handler\_CreateFileW) dbg.debug\_event\_loop() 40 49 if not pid\_is\_there: print "Process %s not found" % target process

#### The results contain only the API files

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE





#### Using PyDbg to analyse software crashes



- An access violation is usually an attempt to access memory that the CPU cannot reference.
- Null pointers, heap overflows and buffer overflows are at the origin of such memory faults.
- PyDbg offers a complete access violation handling synopsis.
- When an access violation is triggered it displays information such as the stack frame, the registers values, and the instruction that caused the access violation.



#### Adobe security issues since 1999



- Since 1999 the vulnerabilities identified in Acrobat reader started climbing at an incredible rate.
- As the PDF has become the most interchanged format, it has also become the most targeted format to be used as a vector attack.
- Cybercriminals take advantage of this widely used format to increase the chances to compromise remote systems.
- The next slide gives you an overview about how quickly the number of vulnerabilities discovered in Acrobat Reader have evolved.



#### **CVE statistics between 1999 and 2011**

# HIGH-TECH BRIDGE





#### The case CVE1999-1576

- We propose you to analyze an old **buffer overflow** issue in adobe acrobat reader with the help PyDbg.
- According to the Common Vulnerability Exposure database the first vulnerability in Acrobat Reader was found in 1999.

CVE-1999-1576 Buffer overflow in Adobe Acrobat ActiveX control (pdf.ocx, PDF.PdfCtrl.1) 1.3.188 for Acrobat Reader 4.0 allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary code via the pdf.setview method.

- The flaw was in an OCX control named pdf. The vulnerable method was named setview.
- We did not find any technical details about this issue other than the exploit code, so for the fun we decided to download Acrobat Reader 4.0, still available nowadays, and analyze the flaw with PyDbg.
- After all, this issue deserves it, it was the first vulnerability Adobe Acrobat Reader! :]

#### Handling the crash in CVE 1999-1576 (1)

- We all know that in the late 90' most common vulnerabilities were due to the wrong use of insecure Libc functions such as strcpy, sprintf, strcat and many others.
- In order to properly analyze the CVE 1999-1576 we coded a basic PyDbg script which will monitor the most widely use C functions responsible of many security issues.
- The functions strcpy, sprintf, vsprintf and strcat will be hooked so as to be able to rapidly and easily detect where exactly resides the bug, only one does exist within a faulty use of these functions.
- Lets check the PyDbg handler responsible of the sprintf function analysis.



#### Handling the crash in CVE 1999-1576 (2)

- We are particularly interested to know the return address when the function is called in order to identify the vulnerable code.
- In a module which does not support ASLR the return address will obviously always be the same, so it is pretty simple to later dissembler the faulty code with a static analysis tool such as IDA Pro to identify where the bug resided in the code.
- The script will also provide information about other function arguments such as the array of char elements where the resulting C string is stored.
- The next slide shows the handler responsible for giving us information after the sprintf function is called.



#### Handling the crash in CVE 1999-1576 (3)



Here's the code responsible for handling the sprintf function.

```
def handler sprintf():
 print "\n[&] Current Stack Pointer => %08x\n" %(dbg.context.Esp)
return address = dbg.read process memory(dbg.context.Esp, 4)
 return address = struct.unpack("<L", return address )[0]</pre>
print "[+] Return address => %08x\n\n" % (return address)
 add arguments = dbg.read process memory(dbg.context.Esp+0x4, 4)
 add arguments = struct.unpack("<L", add arguments)[0]
print "[+] Additional arguments pointer => %08x\n\n %s" % (add arguments,dbg.smart dereference(add arguments))
 format = dbg.read process memory(dbg.context.Esp+0x8, 4)
 format = struct.unpack("<L", format )[0]</pre>
print "\n[+] Format pointer => %08x\n %s" % (format ,dbg.smart dereference(format ))
 print "\n"
 pointer array = dbg.read process memory(dbg.context.Esp+0xC, 4)
 pointer array = struct.unpack("<L", pointer array)[0]</pre>
print "[+] Pointer array => %08x" % (pointer array)
print "[+] Data => %08x\n %s" % (pointer array,dbg.smart dereference(pointer array))
 return DBG CONTINUE
```



#### Handling the crash in CVE 1999-1576 (4)

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

 Here are the results after the PyDbg scripts attaches to Internet Explorer and the exploit is launched:

[+] At [+] Mo [+] Mo [4] Mo [4] Lo [4] Lo [4] Lo [4] Lo [4] Lo [4] Lo [4] Lo	obe Acrobat CVE-1999-1576 vulnerability taching to the process iexplore.exe nitoring LoadLibraryA @ 7c801d77 nitoring sprintf @ 77c3f931 nitoring strcpy @ 77c46030 nitoring strcat @ 77c46040 nitoring vsprintf @ 77c3fe49 vaded Module: MSVCRT.DLL vaded Module: C:\WINDOWS\system32\MFC42LOC.DLL vaded Module: C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\Reader\ActiveX\pdf.ENU vaded Module: ole32.dll vaded Module: ole32.dll vaded Module: OLEAUT32.dll vaded Module: OLEAUT32.dll	
[&] Cu	rrent Stack Pointer => 0013dcd8	
[+] Re	turn address => 1000c8df	
	ditional arguments pointer => 0013dcf0 x w.jw.JJXJ xX	
null, [+] Po [+] Da AAAAA	rmat pointer => 10019a4c %s (pdf.ocx.data) inter array => 001b4a1c ta => 001b4a1c AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	SE



#### Handling the crash in CVE 1999-1576 (5)

- After knowing the return address of the **sprintf** call it is quite easy to identify the faulty code.
- This is possible because we are not dealing with an ALSR module.
- An alternative for ASLR modules could be to save the address of the instruction pointer and substract it from the current module base address in order to identify the proper offset.

1000C8BF	90	NOP			
1000C8C0	8B4424 Ø4	MOU EAX, DWORD PTR SS:[ESP+4]			
1000C8C4	81EC 00010000	SUB ESP, 100	Buffer	• 100 h 256	Dec
1000C8CA	56	PUSH ESI			the second se
1000C8CB	8BF1	MOU ESI, ECX			
1000C8CD	57	PUSH EDI			
1000C8CE	50	PUSH EAX			
1000C8CF	8D4C24 ØC	LEA ECX, DWORD PTR SS:[ESP+C]			h.
1000C8D3	68 <u>4C9A0110</u>	PUSH pdf.10019A4C	ASCII	"null,%s"	
1000C8D8	51	PUSH ECX			
1000C8D9	FF15 <u>84550110</u>	CALL_DWORD_PTR_DS:[<&MSUCRT.sprintf>]	msvcrt	.sprintf	
1000C8DF	8D7C24 14	LEA EDI, DWORD PTR SS:[ESP+14]			
1000C8E3	83C9 FF	OR ECX, FFFFFFFF			
1000C8E6	3300	XOR EAX, EAX			
1000C8E8	83C4_ØC	ADD ESP.OC			
1000C8EB	F2:AE	REPNE SCAS BYTE PTR ES:[EDI]			
1000C8ED	8B86 40020000	MOU EAX, DWORD PTR DS:[ESI+240]			
1000C8F3	6A_01	PUSH 1			
1000C8F5	F7D1	NOT ECX			
1000C8F7	8D5424 ØC	LEA EDX, DWORD PTR SS:[ESP+C]			
1000C8FB	51	PUSH ECX			
1000C8FC	8B48 Ø4	MOU ECX, DWORD PTR DS:[EAX+4]			
1000C8FF	52	PUSH EDX			
1000C900	<u>68 549A0110</u>	PUSH pdf.10019A54	ASCII	"isview"	
1000C905	51	PUSH ECX			
1000C906	E8 85570000	CALL_pdf.10012090			
1000C90B	83C4 14	ADD ESP.14			



#### Handling the crash in CVE 2010-2883 (1)

- Let's handle a crash in a more recent vulnerability widely exploited between May and June 2012.
- The vulnerability was in the MSXML3, MSXML4 and MSXML6 Microsoft dynamic-linked libraries.
- To trigger the flaw one must try to access an XML node (object in memory) that has not been appropriately initialized.
- This leads to memory corruption in such a way that an attacker could execute arbitrary code in the context of the current user.
- More information about this vulnerability can be found <u>here</u>.



#### Handling the crash in CVE 2010-2883 (2)

 This is the basic PyDbg script responsible to handle the crash and give us interesting details:



- The goal of the line nine is to bypass this first exception.
- When an application is being debugged, the debugger gets notified whenever an exception is encountered. At this point, the application is suspended and the debugger decides how to handle the exception. The first pass through this mechanism is called a "first chance" exception.
- The line thirteen records the crash to the process monitor crash bin.
- The line fourteen displays the crash synopsis with all the valuable details such as registers and stack contents and a brief disassembling around the instruction responsible of triggering the crash.



#### Handling the crash in CVE 2010-2883 (4)

## HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

INFORMATION SECURITY SOLUTIONS



#### Handling the crash in CVE 2010-2883 (5)



- For more information about this vulnerability, please check these two publications released in July 2012:
  - https://www.htbridge.com/publication/CVE-2012-1889-Security-Update-Analysis.pdf
  - <u>CVE-2012-1889 Microsoft XML core services uninitialized memory</u> <u>vulnerability</u>



#### PyDbg as a binary behavior profiler

- Malware is malicious software which aims to gather sensitive information, or gain access to private computer systems.
- Nowadays malware is widely spread in Internet and many end-users are being trapped very often.
- The consequence of a **0day attack** is an evil and well hidden code in your computer.
- PyDbg is often used nowadays to monitor the behavior of malware code.



#### The CVE 2010-2883 case (1)

- In order to provide an example about how to monitor a malicious code using PyDbg we will load a suspicious PDF file into Acrobat Reader.
- The PDF file exploits a widely spreaded vulnerability during September 2010.
- The CVE 2010-2883 vulnerability targetted Acrobat 9.x before 9.4 as well as version 8.x before 8.2.5 on Windows and Mac OS X operating systems.
- You can read more about this attack in a previous paper, presented at the HashDays Security Conference in 2011. Slides are <u>here</u>.



#### The CVE 2010-2883 case (2)

**OpenProcess** 

 $\checkmark$ 



- A basic PyDbg script which aims to monitor malware activities will typically hooks API such as:
  - ✓ CreateFileW ✓ CreateFileA ✓ CreateProcessA ✓ CreateProcessW ✓ LoadLibraryA ✓ CreateFileMappingW ✓ CreateFileMappingA ✓ MapViewOfFile ✓ CreateRemoteThread ✓ WriteProcessMemory ✓ VirtualAllocEx

SGS

#### The CVE 2010-2883 case (3)



- In our PyDbg script we are going to hook a variety of API functions.
- The goal is to check if these functions are accessed and the order in which this is done.
- This can provide useful information to Malware reversers and reveal the preliminary installation payload.
- Your imagination is the only limit!



#### The CVE 2010-2883 case (4)

 After loading the malicious PDF file into Acrobat Reader some suspicions actions were detected and many files has been generated.





#### The CVE 2010-2883 case (5)

- In line eight the CreateFileMappingA and MapViewofFile API are called in order to get a file loaded in memory and executed.
- In line twelve a dynamic link file named mea.dll is generated.
- Later, in line fourteen, another file named **adobe1.exe** is created.
- In line seventeen, the mea.dll file is loaded in memory and executed by the loadLibraryA API.
- To finish in line eighteen a fake PDF file is created and launched in order to entice the user to think that it was a legitimate PDF file.



#### Conclusions



- PyDbg is a powerful and easy to use debugger tool.
- It can be used in many cases such as:
  - Crash analyzer
  - Binary behavior profiler
  - Fuzzing
- Future documents will cover how PyDbg can be used to easily code a fuzzer script.



#### References

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Debugger
- http://docs.python.org/license.html
- http://2006.recon.cx/en/f/pamini-five-finger.pdf
- http://blogs.msdn.com/b/davidklinems/archive/2005/07/12/438061.aspx
- http://www.amazon.com/Gray-Hat-Python-Programming-Engineers/dp/1593271921
- https://www.htbridge.com/publications/cve\_2012\_1889\_microsoft\_xml\_core \_services\_uninitialized\_memory\_vulnerability.html
- http://downloads.securityfocus.com/vulnerabilities/exploits/pdfocx.txt



#### Thank you for reading



#### Your questions are always welcome!

brian.mariani@htbridge.com frederic.bourla@htbridge.com



©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com